



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

SERIAL NUMBER	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	
08/714.987	09/17/96	SHARKEY	Н	17616-705	
				EXAMINER	
emonate in an artists.		33M1/0121		•	
PAUL DAVIS WILSON SONS:	INI GOODRICH	H % ROSATI	THAU THA	PAPER NUMBER	
650 PAGE MIL PALO ALTO CA	L ROAD		3311	6	
			DATE MAILED:	01/21/98	
This is a communication COMMISSIONER OF PA		harge of your application. MARKS			
This application has been examined Responsive to communication filed on October 1, 1997 This action is made final. A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to expire month(s), days from the date of this letter. Failure to respond within the period for response will cause the application to become abandoned. 35 U.S.C. 133					
Part I THE FOLLOWIN	IG ATTACHMENT(S)	ARE PART OF THIS ACTION:			
 Notice of References Cited by Examiner, PTO-892. Notice of Art Cited by Applicant, PTO-1449. Information on How to Effect Drawing Changes, PTO-1474. 					
Part II SUMMARY OF					
1. Claims	7 /			_ are pending in the application.	
Of the abo	ve, daims 304	14	ar	withdrawn from consideration.	
2. Claims				have been cancelled.	
3. Claims				are allowed.	
4. Claims	19			are rejected.	
5. Claims				are objected to.	
6. Claims		ar	e subject to restricti	on or election requirement.	
7. This application	has been filed with info	rmal drawings under 37 C.F.R. 1.85 which are	acceptable for exan	nination purposes.	
8. Formal drawings	are required in respon	nse to this Office action.			
9. The corrected or are acceptab	substitute drawings hale; I not acceptable (ave been received on see explanation or Notice of Draftsman's Patent	. Under 37 (Drawing Review, F	C.F.R. 1.84 these drawings PTO-948).	
		sheet(s) of drawings, filed on niner (see explanation).	. has (have) been	□ approved by the	
11. The proposed dr	awing correction, filed	has been approv	ed; disapproved	i (see explanation).	
	Acknowledgement is made of the claim for priority under 35 U.S.C. 119. The certified copy has been received not been received been filed in parent application, serial no; filed on				
		condition for allowance except for formal matte parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.	rs, prosecution as t	o the merits is closed in	
14. Other					

Serial Number: 08/714,987

Art Unit: 3311

Claims 30-44 are withdrawn from further consideration by the examiner, 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a non-elected invention, the requirement having been traversed in Paper No. 5.

Claims 1-29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claim 1 exactly what constitute "an at least partially fluid medium" is unclear. In claim 12, exactly what constitute "an optical coated fiber" is unclear. In claim 29 the exact meaning of the term "at least partially is adjacent to a fluid medium" is unclear.

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1, 3-7, 9, 10, 12-20, 23-27 and 29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Makower or Edwards et al.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Serial Number: 08/714,987

Art Unit: 3311

Claims 2, 8, 11, 21, and 28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Makower or Edwards et al. Both Makower and Edwards et al teach a device as claimed for the use of platinum, toad type season, thermostor type sensors, resistive heater or the use of potting compound. It would have been obvious to the artisan of ordinary skill to employ the sensors, heaters, electrode material and sensor location with potting compound fixation claimed, since these are not critical, provide no unexpected result and are motorious throughout the art for performing these function, thus producing a device such as claimed.

davit shar

David Shay:bhw January 13, 1998 (703) 308- 2215

DAVID M. SHAY PRIMARY EXAMINER GROUP 330